

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Jessica Kahraman, an individual; D.K., a minor,
through his parent and guardian Jessica Kahraman;
and K.K., a minor, through his parent and guardian
Jessica Kahraman,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

The State of Arizona, a governmental entity; Arizona
Department of Child Safety (“DCS”), a governmental
entity; Sarah Kramer, individually and as an employee
with DCS, and John Doe Kramer, her spouse; Sarah
Mendez, individually and as an employee with DCS,
and John Doe Mendez, her spouse; Madison Bell,
individually and as an employee with DCS, and John
Doe Bell, her spouse; Mecca Temple, individually and
as an employee with DCS, and John Doe Temple, her
spouse; Gregory McKay, individually and as an
employee with the State of Arizona as the former
Director of DCS, and Jane Doe McKay, his spouse;
Michael Faust, individually and as an employee with
the State of Arizona as the current Director of DCS,
and Jane Doe Faust, his spouse; Banner Children’s at
Desert, formerly Cardon Children Medical Center
(“Banner”), an Arizona nonprofit organization; Ryan
M. Stewart, M.D., individually and as an employee
with Banner, and Jane Doe Stewart, his spouse; Maria
Chico, individually and as an employee with Banner,
and John Doe Chico, her spouse; Southwest Human
Development (“SWHD”), an Arizona nonprofit
organization, individually and as a service provider
for the State of Arizona; Drue Kaplan-Siekman,
individually and as an employee with SWHD, and
John Doe Siekman, her spouse; Gwyneth Kelly,
individually and as an employee with SWHD, and
John Kelly, her spouse; Michael Kelly, M.D. an
individual, and Jane Doe Kelly, his spouse; John and
Jane Does 1-5; and Black Entities 1-5,

Defendants.

Case No. CV-22-0375-PHX-SRB

**ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT GWYNETH
KELLY’S MOTION FOR
LEAVE: (1) TO EXCEED
PAGE LIMIT ON
DEFENDANT GWYNETH
KELLY’S REPLY IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
JUDGMENT ON THE
PLEADINGS; AND (2) FOR
AN EXTENSION TO FILE
REPLY
(First Request)**


1 The Court, having received Defendant Gwyneth Kelly's Motion for Leave to
2 Exceed LRCiv 7.2(e)(2) page limit for Defendant Gwyneth Kelly's Reply in Support of
3 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and for an Extension to File the Reply and the other
4 parties having no objection hereto,

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** granting the motion and accepting and allowing
6 Defendant Gwyneth Kelly to file a fourteen (14) page Reply to Plaintiffs' Combined
7 Response In Opposition to Defendant Gwyneth Kelly's Motion for Judgment on the
8 Pleadings [Doc. 168] and in Support of her Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [Doc.
9 153].

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** extending the deadline for Defendant Gwyneth
11 Kelly to file her Reply to Plaintiffs' Combined Response In Opposition to Defendant
12 Gwyneth Kelly's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [Doc. 168] and in Support of her
13 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [Doc. 153], up to and including September 29, 2023.

14 Dated this 26th day of September, 2023.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Susan R. Bolton
United States District Judge